

Rating of Resources

"Level of Support and Implementation"

Criterion	Well Established	Established	Promising	Insufficient Evidence
<i>Type of Documentation</i>	Documentation with both quantitative and qualitative data from three or more sources, including: Peer-reviewed publication, not written by the developer; Developer-sponsored program evaluation; and Independent program evaluation.	Documentation with quantitative or qualitative data from two or more sources, including: Peer-reviewed publication; Developer-sponsored program evaluation; and o/or Independent program evaluation.	Documentation with quantitative or qualitative data from at least one source, including: Peer-reviewed publication or Developer-sponsored program evaluation.	Quantitative or qualitative data has been collected and made available by the developer but no program evaluation has been conducted. Non-peer reviewed publication.
<i>Recency of Documentation</i>	Documentation has been established over an extended period of time, including the last 5 years.	Documentation over the last 10 years, but not in the last 5 years.	Documentation only in the last 3 years.	No documentation in the last 10 years.
<i>Effectiveness With Target Population</i>	Documentation effectiveness with varied populations of struggling secondary readers.	Documented effectiveness with 1-2 populations of struggling secondary readers.	Documented effectiveness with other populations of readers but only anecdotal evidence with struggling secondary readers.	Anecdotal effectiveness with struggling secondary readers or other readers.
<i>Extent of Implementation</i>	Implemented in a least 5 sites beyond a pilot.	Implemented in 3-4 sites beyond a pilot.	Implemented in 1-2 sites beyond a pilot.	Implemented in a pilot.